



# THE MINISTRY OF WOMEN.

By the late

**DR. A. J. GORDON.**

**China Inland Mission :**

**702, Witherspoon Building, PHILADELPHIA, PA.**

**507, Church Street, TORONTO, CANADA.**

REPRINTED, BY PERMISSION, FROM "THE MISSIONARY  
REVIEW OF THE WORLD," 1894.

THE occasion for writing the following article is this: at a recent summer convention a young lady missionary had been appointed to give an account of her work at one of the public sessions. The scruples of certain of the delegates against a woman's addressing a mixed assembly were found to be so strong, however, that the lady was withdrawn from the programme, and further public participation in the conference confined to its male constituency.

The conscientious regard thus displayed for Paul's alleged injunction of silence in the church on the part of women, deserves our highest respect. But with a considerable knowledge of the nature and extent of woman's work on the missionary field, the writer has long believed that it is exceedingly important that that work, as now carried on, should either be justified from Scripture, or, if that were impossible, that it be so modified as to bring it into harmony with the exact requirements of the Word of God. For while it is true that many Christians believe that women are enjoined from publicly preaching the Gospel, either at home or abroad, it is certainly true that scores of missionary women are at present doing this very thing. They are telling out the good news of salvation to heathen men and women publicly and from house to house, to little groups gathered by the wayside, or to large groups assembled in the *zayats*. It is not affirmed that a majority of women missionaries are engaged in this kind of work, but that scores are doing it, and doing it with the approval of the Boards under which they are serving. If any one should raise the technical objection that because of its informal and colloquial character this is not preaching, we are ready to affirm that it comes much nearer the preaching enjoined in the great commission than does the reading of a theological disquisition from the pulpit on Sunday morning, or the discussion of some ethical or sociological question before a popular audience on Sunday evening.

But the purpose of this article is not to condemn the ministry of missionary women described above, or to suggest its modification, but rather to justify and vindicate both its propriety and authority by a critical examination of Scripture on the question at issue.



## THE MINISTRY OF WOMEN.

BY DR. A. J. GORDON.

**I**N order to a right understanding of this subject, it is necessary for us to be reminded that we are living in the dispensation of the Spirit—a dispensation which differs most radically from that of the law which preceded it. As the day of Pentecost ushered in this new economy, so the prophecy of Joel, which Peter rehearsed on that day, outlined its great characteristic features. Let us briefly consider this prophecy :

- 17 And it shall be in the last days, saith God,  
I will pour forth of my Spirit upon all flesh :  
And your sons and your daughters shall prophesy,  
And your young men shall see visions,  
And your old men shall dream dreams :
- 18 Yea and on my servants and on my handmaidens  
in those days  
Will I pour forth of my Spirit: and they shall  
prophesy.



- 19 And I will show wonders in the heaven above,  
And signs on the earth beneath ;  
Blood, and fire, and vapor of smoke :
- 20 The sun shall be turned into darkness,  
And the moon into blood,  
Before the day of the Lord come,  
That great and notable *day* :
- 21 And it shall be, that whosoever shall call on the  
name of the Lord shall be saved. (Acts ii.  
17-21, R. V.)

It will be observed that four classes are here named as being brought into equal privileges under the outpoured Spirit :

1. *Jew and Gentile* : "All flesh" seems to be equivalent to "every one who" or "whosoever," named in the twenty-first verse. Paul expounds this phrase to mean both Jew and Gentile (Rom. x. 12-13) : "For there is no difference between the *Jew and the Greek*. . . . For whosoever shall call upon the name of the Lord shall be saved."

2. *Male and female* : "And your sons and your daughters shall prophesy."

3. *Old and young* : "Your young men shall see visions, and your old men shall dream dreams."

4. *Bondmen and Bondmaidens* (*vide* R. V. margin) : "And on my *servants* and on my *handmaidens* in those days will I pour forth of My Spirit, and they shall prophesy."

Now, evidently these several classes are not mentioned without a definite intention and significance ; for Paul, in referring back to the great baptism through which the Church of the New Covenant was ushered in, says : "For in one Spirit were we all baptized into one body, whether *Jews or Greeks, whether bond or free*" (1 Cor. xii. 13, R. V.).

Here he enumerates two classes named in Joel's prophecy; and in another passage he mentions three: "For as many of you as were baptized into Christ did put on Christ; there can be neither *Jew nor Greek*; there can be neither *bond nor free*; there can be no *male and female*; for ye are all one man in Christ Jesus" (Gal. iii. 28, R. V.).

We often hear this phrase, "neither male nor female," quoted as though it were a rhetorical figure; but we insist that the inference is just, that if the Gentile came into vastly higher privileges under grace than under the law, so did the woman; for both are spoken of in the same category.

Here, then, we take our starting-point for the discussion. This prophecy of Joel, realized at Pentecost, is the *Magna Charta* of the Christian Church. It gives to woman a status in the Spirit hitherto unknown. And, as in civil legislation, no law can be enacted which conflicts with the constitution, so in Scripture we shall expect to find no text which denies to woman her divinely appointed rights in the New Dispensation.

"*Your sons and your daughters shall prophesy.*" Here is woman's equal warrant with man's for telling out the Gospel of the grace of God. So it seems, at least, for this word "prophesy" in the New Testament "signifies not merely to foretell future events, but to communicate religious truth in general under a Divine inspiration" (*vide* Hackett on "Acts," p. 49), and the spirit of prophecy was henceforth to rest, not upon the favored few, but upon the many, without regard to race, or age, or sex. All that we can gather from the New Testament use of this word leads us to believe that it embraces that faithful witnessing for Christ, that fervent telling out of the Gospel under the impulse of the Holy Spirit, which was found in the early Church, and is found just as truly among the faithful to-day.

Some, indeed, foreseeing whither such an admission might lead, have insisted on limiting the word "prophecy" to its highest meaning—that of inspired prediction or miraculous revelation—and have then affirmed that the age of miracles having ceased, therefore Joel's prophecy cannot be cited as authority for women's public witnessing for Christ to-day.

This method of reasoning has been repeatedly resorted to in similar exigencies of interpretation, but it has not proved satisfactory. When William Carey put his finger on the words, "Go ye into all the world and preach the Gospel to every creature," and asked if this command were not still binding on the Church, he was answered by his brethren: "No! The great commission was accompanied by the miraculous gift of tongues; this miracle has ceased in the Church, and therefore we cannot hope to succeed in such an enterprise unless God shall send another Pentecost." But Carey maintained that the power of the Spirit could be still depended on, as in the beginning, for carrying out the great commission; and a century of missions has vindicated the correctness of his judgment. When, within a few years, some thoughtful Christians have asked whether the promise, "The prayer of faith shall save the sick," is not still in force, the theologians have replied: "No; this refers to miraculous healing; and the age of miracles ended with the apostles." And now it is said that "prophecy" also belongs in the same catalogue of miraculous gifts which passed away with the apostles. It is certainly incumbent upon those who advocate this view to bring forward some evidence of its correctness from Scripture, which, after repeated challenges, they have failed to do, and must fail to do. Our greatest objection to the theory is, that it fails to make due recognition of



the Holy Spirit's perpetual presence in the Church—a presence which implies the equal perpetuity of His gifts and endowments.

If, now, we turn to the history of the primitive Church, we find the practice corresponding to the prophecy. In the instance of Philip's household, we read: "Now this man had four daughters which did prophesy" (Acts xxi. 9); and in connection with the Church in Corinth we read: "Every woman praying and prophesying with her head unveiled" (1 Cor. xi. 5); which passage we shall consider further on, only rejoicing as we pass that "praying" has not yet, like its yoke-fellow, "prophesying," been remanded exclusively to the apostolic age.

Having touched thus briefly on the positive side of this question, we now proceed to consider the alleged prohibition of women's participation in the public meetings of the Church, found in the writings of Paul.

We shall examine, first, the crucial text contained in 1 Tim. ii. 8-11:

8 I desire therefore that the men pray in every  
place, lifting up holy hands without wrath and  
9 disputing. In like manner, that women adorn  
themselves in modest apparel, with shamefast-  
ness and sobriety; not with braided hair and  
10 gold or pearls or costly raiment; but (which  
becometh women professing godliness) through  
11 good works. Let a woman learn in quietness  
with all subjection. But I permit not a woman  
to teach, nor to have dominion over a man, but  
to be in quietness. etc. (R. V.)

This passage has generally been regarded as perhaps the strongest and most decisive, for the silence of women in the Church. It would be very startling, therefore, were it shown that it really contains an exhortation to the orderly and decorous

participation of women in public prayer. Yet such is the conclusion of some of the best exegetes.

By general consent the force of βούλωμαι "I will," is carried over from the eighth verse into the ninth: "*I will that women*" (*vide* Alford). And what is it that the apostle will have women do? The words, "*in like manner*," furnish a very suggestive hint toward one answer, and a very suggestive hindrance to another and common answer. Is it meant that he would have the men pray in every place, and the women, "*in like manner*," to be silent? But where would be the similarity of conduct in the two instances? Or does the intended likeness lie between the men's "lifting up holy hands," and the women's adorning themselves in modest apparel? So unlikely is either one of these conclusions from the apostle's language, that, as Alford concedes, "Chrysostom and most commentators supply προσεύχεσθαι, 'to pray,' in order to complete the sense." If they are right in so construing the passage—and we believe the ὡσαύτως "*in like manner*," compels them to this course—then the meaning is unquestionable. "I will, therefore, that men pray everywhere, lifting up holy hands, etc. In like manner I will that women pray in modest apparel, etc."

In one of the most incisive and clearly reasoned pieces of exegesis with which we are acquainted, Wiesinger, the eminent commentator, thus interprets the passage, and, as it seems to us, clearly justifies his conclusions. We have not space to transfer his argument to these pages, but we may, in a few words, give a summary of it, mostly in his own language. He says:

"1. In the words '*in every place*' it is chiefly to be observed that it is public prayer and not secret prayer that is spoken of.



"2. The προσεύχεσθαι 'to pray,' is to be supplied in verse 9, and to be connected with 'in modest apparel;' so that this special injunction as to the conduct of women in prayer corresponds to that given to the men in the words 'Lifting up holy hands.' This verse, then, from the beginning, refers to prayer; and what is said of the women in verses 9 and 10 is to be understood as referring primarily to public prayer.

"3. The transition in verse 11 from γοναῖνας to γυνή shows that the apostle now passes on to something new—viz., the relation of the married woman to her husband. She is to be in quietness rather than drawing attention to herself by public appearance; to learn rather than to teach; to be in subjection rather than in authority."

In a word, our commentator finds no evidence from this passage that women were forbidden to pray in the public assemblies of the Church; though reasoning back from the twelfth verse to those before, he considers that they may have been enjoined from public teaching. The latter question we shall consider further on.

The interpretation just given has strong presumption in its favour, from the likeness of the passage to another which we now consider:

4 Every man praying or prophesying, having his  
5 head covered, dishonoureth his head. But every  
woman praying or prophesying with her head  
unveiled dishonoureth her head. (1 Cor. xi. 4-5.)

By common consent the reference is here to public worship; and the decorous manner of taking part therein is pointed out first for the man and then for the woman. "Every woman praying or prophesying." Bengel's terse comment: "*Therefore women were not excluded from these duties,*" is natural and reasonable. It is quite incredible, on the con-

trary, that the apostle should give himself the trouble to prune a custom which he desired to uproot, or that he should spend his breath in condemning a forbidden *method* of doing a forbidden thing. This passage is strikingly like the one just considered, in that the proper order of doing having been prescribed, first for the man, and then for the woman, it is impossible to conclude that the thing to be done is then enjoined only upon the one party and forbidden to the other. If the "in like manner" has proved such a barrier to commentators against finding an injunction for the silence of women in 1 Tim. iii. 9, the unlike manner pointed out in this passage is not less difficult to be surmounted by those who hold that women are forbidden to participate in public worship. As the first passage has been shown to give sanction to woman's praying in public, this one points not less strongly to her habit of both praying and prophesying in public.

We turn now to the only remaining passage which has been urged as decisive for the silence of women—viz., 1 Cor. xiv. 34-35 :

34 Let the women keep silence in the churches :  
for it is not permitted unto them to speak ; but  
let them be in subjection, as also saith the law.

35 And if they would learn anything, let them ask  
their own husbands at home : for it is shameful  
for a woman to speak in the church.

Here, again, the conduct of women in the Church should be studied in relation to that of men if we would rightly understand the apostle's teaching. Let us observe, then, that the injunction to silence is three times served in this chapter by the use of the same Greek word, *σιγάτω*, twice on men and once on women, and that in every case the silence commanded is conditional, not absolute.

"*Let him keep silence in the church*" (verse 28) it is

said to one speaking with tongues, but on the condition that "there be no interpreter." "*Let the first keep silence*" (verse 30), it is said of the prophets, "speaking by two or three"; but it is on condition that "a revelation be made to another sitting by."

"*Let the women keep silence in the church,*" it is said again, but it is evidently on condition of their interrupting the service with questions, since it is added, "for it is not permitted them to speak, . . . and if they would learn anything, let them ask their husbands at home." This last clause takes the injunction clearly out of all reference to praying or prophesying, and shows—what the whole chapter indicates—that the apostle is here dealing with the various forms of disorder and confusion in the church; not that he is repressing the decorous exercise of spiritual gifts, either by men or by women. If he were forbidding women to pray or to prophesy in public, as some argue, what could be more irrelevant or meaningless than his direction concerning the case, "If they will learn anything, let them ask their husbands at home"?

In fine, we may reasonably insist that this text, as well as the others discussed above, be considered in the light of the entire New Testament teaching—the teaching of prophecy, the teaching of practice, and the teaching of contemporary history—if we would find the true meaning.

Dr. Jacob, in his admirable work, "*The Ecclesiastical Polity of the New Testament,*" considering the question after this broad method, thus candidly and, as it seems to us, justly, sums up the whole question: "A due consideration of this ministry of gifts in the earliest days of Christianity—those times of high and sanctified spiritual freedom—both shows and justifies the custom of the public ministration of women at that time in the Church. The very ground and title of this ministry being the acknow-



ledged possession of some gift, and such gifts being bestowed on women as well as men, the former as well as the latter were allowed to use them in Christian assemblies. *This seems to me quite evident from Paul's words in 1 Cor. xi. 5, where he strongly condemns the practice of women praying or prophesying with the head unveiled, without expressing the least objection to this public ministration on their part, but only finding fault with what was considered an unseemly attire for women thus publicly engaged.* The injunction contained in the same epistle (1 Cor. xiv. 34), 'Let your women keep silence,' etc., refers, as the context shows, not to prophesying or praying in the congregation, but to making remarks and asking questions about the words of others."

On the whole we may conclude, without overconfidence, that there is no Scripture which prohibits women from praying or prophesying in the public assemblies of the Church; that, on the contrary, they seem to be exhorted to the first exercise by the word of the apostle (1 Tim. ii. 9); while for prophesying they have the threefold warrant of inspired prediction (Acts ii. 17) of primitive practice (Acts xxi. 9), and of apostolic provision (1 Cor. xi. 4).\*

As to the question of teaching, a difficulty arises

---

\* The following note, which we transcribe from Meyer's Commentary, seems to be a fair and well-balanced *résumé* of the case: "This passage (1 Tim. ii. 8-11) does not distinctly forbid *προσευχῆσθαι* (to pray) to women; it only distinctly forbids *διδάσκειν* (to teach) on their part. There is the same apparent contradiction between 1 Cor. xiv. 34, 35 and 1 Cor. xi. 5, 13. While in the former passage *λαλεῖν* (to speak) is forbidden to women, in the latter *προσευχῆσθαι* (to pray) and even *προφητεύειν* (to prophesy) are presupposed as things done by women, and the apostle does not forbid it. The solution is that Paul wishes everything in the Church to be done decently and in order, while, on the other hand, he holds by the principle, 'Quench not the Spirit.'"

which it is not easy to solve. If the apostle, in his words to Timothy, absolutely forbids a woman to teach and expound spiritual truth, then the remarkable instance of a woman doing this very thing at once occurs to the mind (Acts xviii. 26)—an instance of private teaching possibly, but endorsed and made conspicuously public by its insertion in the New Testament.

In view of this example, some have held that the statement in 1 Tim. ii. 9, with the entire paragraph to which it belongs, refers to the married woman's domestic relations, and not to her public relations; to her subjection to the teaching of her husband as against her dogmatic lording it over him. This is the view of Canon Garratt, in his excellent observations on the "Ministry of Women." Admit, however, that the prohibition is against public teaching; what may it mean? To teach and to govern are the special functions of the presbyter. The teacher and the pastor, named in the gifts to the Church (Eph. iv. 11), Alford considers to be the same; and the pastor is generally regarded as identical with the Bishop. Now there is no instance in the New Testament of a woman being set over a church as bishop and teacher. The lack of such example would lead us to refrain from ordaining a woman as pastor of a Christian congregation. But if the Lord has fixed this limitation, we believe it to be grounded, not on her less favoured position in the privileges of grace, but in the impediments to such service existing in nature itself.

It may be said against the conclusion which we have reached concerning the position of women, that the plain reading of the New Testament makes a different impression on the mind. That may be so on two grounds; first, on that of traditional bias; and second, on that of unfair translation. Concerning the latter point, it would seem as though

the translators of our common version wrought, at every point where this question occurs, under the shadow of Paul's imperative, "Let your women keep silence in the churches."

Let us take two illustrations from names found in that constellation of Christian women mentioned in Rom. xvi. :—

"I commend unto you Phœbe, our sister, which is a servant of the church which is at Cenchreæ." So, according to the King James version, writes Paul. But the same word *διάκονος*, here translated "servant," is rendered "minister" when applied to Paul and Apollos (1 Cor. iii. 5), and "deacon" when used of other male officers of the Church (1 Tim. iii. 10, 12, 13). Why discriminate against Phœbe simply because she is a woman? The word "servant" is correct for the general unofficial use of the term, as in Matt. xxii. 10; but if Phœbe were really a functionary of the Church, as we have a right to conclude, let her have the honour to which she is entitled. If "Phœbe, a minister of the Church at Cenchreæ," sounds too bold, let the word be transliterated, and read, "Phœbe, a deacon"—a *deacon*, too, without the insipid termination "ess," of which there is no more need than that we should say "teacheress" or "doctress." This emendation "deaconess" has timidly crept into the margin of the Revised Version, thus adding prejudice to slight by the association which this name has with High Church sisterhoods and orders. It is wonderful how much there is in a name! "Phœbe, a *servant*," might suggest to an ordinary reader nothing more than the modern church drudge, who prepares sandwiches and coffee for an ecclesiastical sociable. To Canon Garratt, with his genial and enlightened view of woman's position in apostolic times, "Phœbe, a deacon," suggests a useful co-laborer of Paul,



“travelling about on missionary and other labors of love.”

Again, we read in the same chapter of Romans, “*Greet Priscilla and Aquila, my helpers in Christ Jesus.*” Notice the order here; the woman’s name put first, as elsewhere (Acts xviii. 18; 2 Tim iv. 19). But when we turn to that very suggestive passage in Acts xviii. 26 we find the order reversed, and the man’s name put first: “Whom, when Aquila and Priscilla had heard, they took him and expounded unto him the way of the Lord more perfectly.” Yet this is conceded to be wrong, according to the best manuscripts. Evidently to some transcriber or critic the startling question presented itself: “Did not Paul say, ‘I suffer not a woman to teach, nor to usurp authority over the man’? but here a woman is actually taking the lead as theological teacher to Apollos, an eminent minister of the Gospel, and so far setting up her authority as to tell him that he is not thoroughly qualified for his work! This will never do; if the woman cannot be silent, she must at least be thrust into the background.” And so the order is changed, and the man’s name has stood first for generations of readers. The Revised Version has rectified the error, and the woman’s name now leads.

But how natural is this story, and how perfectly accordant with subsequent Christian history! We can readily imagine that, after listening to this Alexandrian orator, Priscilla would say to her husband: “Yes, he is eloquent and mighty in the Scriptures; but do you not see that he lacks the secret of power?” And so they took him and instructed him concerning the baptism of the Holy Ghost, with the result that he who before had been mighty in the Scriptures, now “mightily convinced the Jews.” How often has this scene been reproduced; as, *e.g.*, in the instance of Catherine of Siena

instructing the corrupt clergy of her day in the things of the Spirit till they exclaimed in wonder, "Never man spake like this woman;" of Madame Guyon, who by her teaching made new men of scores of accomplished but unspiritual preachers of her time; of the humble woman of whom the evangelist Moody tells, who on hearing some of his early sermons, admonished him of his need of the secret of power, and brought him under unspeakable obligation by teaching him of the same. It is evident that the Holy Spirit made this woman Priscilla a teacher of teachers, and that her theological chair has had many worthy incumbents through the subsequent Christian ages.

To follow still further the list of women workers mentioned in Rom. xvi, we read: "Salute Tryphæna and Tryphosa, who labour in the Lord. Salute Persis the beloved, which labored much in the Lord" (verse 12). What was the work *in the Lord* which these so worthily wrought? Put with this quotation another: "Help those women which *labored with me in the Gospel*" (Phil. iv. 3). Did they "labor in the Gospel" with the one restriction that they should not preach the Gospel? Did they "labor in the Lord" under sacred bonds to give no public witness for the Lord? "Ah! but there is that word of Paul to Timothy, 'Let the women learn in silence,'" says the plaintiff. No! It is not there. Here again we complain of an invidious translation. Rightly the Revised Version gives it: "Let a woman learn *in quietness*" (ἡσυχία), an admonition not at all inconsistent with decorous praying and witnessing in the Christian assembly. When *men* are admonished, the King James translators give the right rendering to the same word: "That with *quietness* they work and eat their own bread" (1 Thess. iii. 12), an injunction which no reader would construe

to mean that they should refrain from speaking during their labor and their eating.

As a woman is named among the deacons in this chapter, so it is more than probable that one is mentioned among the apostles. "Salute Andronicus and Junia, my kinsmen, and my fellow-prisoners, who are of note among the apostles" (v. 7). Is Junia a feminine name? So it has been commonly held. But the *ἐν τοῖς ἀποστόλοις*, with which it stands connected, has led some to conclude that it is Junias, the name of a man. This is not impossible. Yet Chrysostom, who, as a Greek Father, ought to be taken as a high authority, makes this frank and unequivocal comment on the passage: "*How great is the devotion of this woman, that she should be counted worthy of the name of an apostle!*"

These are illustrations which might be considerably enlarged, of the shadow which Paul's supposed law of silence for women has cast upon the work of the early translators—a shadow which was even thrown back into the Old Testament, so that we read in the Common Version: "The Lord gave the word; great was the company of those that published it" (Psalm lxviii. 11); while the Revised correctly gives it: "The Lord giveth the word: *the women that publish the tidings are a great host.*"

Whether we are right or wrong in our general conclusions, there are some very interesting lessons suggested by this subject:

Especially, the value of experience as an interpreter of Scripture. The final exegesis is not always to be found in the lexicon and grammar. The Spirit is in the Word; and the Spirit is also in the Church, the body of regenerate and sanctified believers. To follow the voice of the Church apart from that of the written Word has never proved safe; but, on the other hand, it may be that we need to be admonished not to ignore the teach-



ing of the deepest spiritual life of the Church in forming our conclusions concerning the meaning of Scripture. It cannot be denied that in every great spiritual awakening in the history of Protestantism the impulse for Christian women to pray and witness for Christ in the public assembly has been found irrepressible. It was so in the beginnings of the Society of Friends. It was so in the great evangelical revival associated with the names of Wesley and Whitfield. It has been so in that powerful *renaissance* of primitive Methodism known as the Salvation Army. It has been increasingly so in this era of modern missions and modern evangelism in which we are living. Observing this fact, and observing also the great blessing which has attended the ministry of consecrated women in heralding the Gospel, many thoughtful men have been led to examine the Word of God anew, to learn if it be really so that the Scriptures silence the testimony which the Spirit so signally blesses. To many it has been both a relief and a surprise to discover how little authority there is in the Word for repressing the witness of women in the public assembly, or for forbidding her to herald the Gospel to the unsaved. If this be so, it may be well for the plaintiffs in this case to beware lest, in silencing the voice of consecrated women, they may be resisting the Holy Ghost. The conjunction of these two admonitions of the apostle is significant: "Quench not the Spirit. Despise not prophesying" (1 Thess. v. 19-20).

The famous Edward Irving speaks thus pointedly on this subject: "Who am I that I should despise the gift of God, because it is in a woman, whom the Holy Ghost despiseth not? . . . That women have with men an equal distribution of spiritual gifts is not only manifest from the fact (Acts ii.; xviii. 26; xxi. 9; 1 Cor. xi. 3, etc.), but from the

very words of the prophecy of Joel itself, which may well rebuke those vain and thoughtless people who make light of the Lord's work, because it appeareth among women. *I wish men would themselves be subject to the Word of God, before they lord it so over women's equal rights in the great outpouring of the Spirit.*" (Works, v. 555).

As is demanded, we have preferred to forego all appeals to reason and sentiment in settling the question, and to rest it solely on a literal interpretation of Scripture. Yet we cannot refrain from questioning whether the spiritual intuition of the Church has not been far in advance of its exegesis in dealing with this subject. We will not refer to the usage prevailing in many of our most spiritual and evangelical churches, but will cite some conspicuous public instances.

Annie Taylor's missionary tour into Thibet has been the subject of world-wide comment. And now she is returning to that vast and perilous field with a considerable company of missionary recruits, both men and women, herself the leader of the expedition. In this enterprise of carrying the Gospel into the regions beyond, and preaching Christ to all classes, she is as fully a missionary as was Paul, or Columba, or Boniface. Yet in all the comments of the religious Press we have never once heard the question raised as to whether, in thus acting, she were not stepping out of women's sphere as defined in Scripture.

When before the Exeter Hall Missionary Conference in 1888, Secretary Murdoch described the work of Mrs. Ingalls, of Burmah, declaring that, though not assuming ecclesiastical functions, yet by force of character on the one hand, and by the exigencies of the field on the other, she had come to be a virtual bishop over nearly a score of churches, training the native ministry in theology and homi-



letics, guiding the churches in the selection of pastors, and superintending the discipline of the congregations, the story evoked only applause, without a murmur of dissent from the distinguished body of missionary leaders who heard it.

When at that same conference, the representative of the Karen Mission having failed, it was asked whether there were any missionary present who could speak for that remarkable work, the reply was, "Only one, and she is a woman." She was unhesitatingly accepted as the speaker; and though at first demurring, she finally consented, and had the honor of addressing perhaps the most august array of missionary leaders which has convened in this century. The clear and distinct tones in which Mrs. Armstrong told her story did not suggest "silence"; but the modesty and reserve of her bearing completely answered to the Scripture requirement of "quietness." And though she had among her auditors missionary secretaries, Episcopal bishops, Oxford professors, and Edinburgh theologians, not the slightest indication of objection to her service was anywhere visible.

We vividly remember, in the early days of woman's work in the foreign field, how that brilliant missionary to China, Miss Adele Fielde, was recalled by her Board because of the repeated complaints of the senior missionaries that in her work she was transcending her sphere as a woman. "It is reported that you have taken upon you to preach," was the charge read by the chairman; "is it so?" She replied by describing the vastness and destitution of her field—village after village, hamlet after hamlet, yet unreached by the Gospel—and then how, with a native woman, she had gone into the surrounding country, gathered groups of men, women, and children—whoever would come—and told out the story of the Cross to them. "If this is



preaching, I plead guilty to the charge," she said. "And have you ever been ordained to preach?" asked her examiner. "No," she replied with great dignity and emphasis—"no; but I believe I have been foreordained." O woman! you have answered discreetly; and if any shall ask for your foreordination credentials, put your finger on the words of the prophet: "Your sons and your daughters shall prophesy," and the whole Church will vote to send you back unhampered to your work, as happily the Board did in this instance.

How slow are we to understand what is written! Simon Peter, who on the Day of Pentecost had rehearsed the great prophecy of the new dispensation, and announced that its fulfilment had begun, was yet so holden of tradition that it took a special vision of the sheet descending from heaven to convince him that in the body of Christ "there can be neither Jew nor Gentile." And it has required another vision of a multitude of missionary women, let down by the Holy Spirit among the heathen, and publishing the Gospel to every tribe and kindred and people, to convince us that in that same body "there can be no male nor female." It is evident, however, that this extraordinary spectacle of ministering women has brought doubts to some conservative men as to "whereunto this thing may grow." Yet as believers in the sure word of prophecy, all has happened exactly according to the foreordained pattern, from the opening chapter of the new dispensation, when in the upper room "these all continued with one accord in prayer and supplication, *with the women*, and Mary the mother of Jesus, and with His brethren," to the closing chapter, now fulfilling, when "the women that publish the tidings are a great host."

The new economy is not as the old; and the

defendants in this case need not appeal to the examples of Miriam, and Deborah, and Huldah, and Anna the prophetess. These were exceptional instances under the old dispensation; but she that is least in the kingdom of heaven is greater than they. And let the theologians who have recently written so dogmatically upon this subject consider whether it may not be possible that in this matter they are still under the law and not under grace; and whether, in sight of the promised land of world-wide evangelization, they may not hear the voice of God saying: "*Moses, my servant, is dead*; now, therefore, arise and go over this Jordan."



# China Inland Mission.

---

*General Director :*

REV. J. HUDSON TAYLOR, London, Eng.

*Acting General Director :*

MR. D. E. HOSTE, Shanghai, China.

*Director for North America :*

MR. HENRY W. FROST, Philadelphia, Pa.

---

## Information for Correspondents.

Correspondence may be addressed, donations may be remitted and applications for service in China may be made to MR. HENRY W. FROST, Acting Secretary, at Philadelphia, or to MR. J. S. HELMER, Secretary-Treasurer, at Toronto.

In the case of a donation being intended as a contribution toward any SPECIAL object, either at home or in China, it is requested that this be stated VERY CLEARLY. If no such designation is made, it will be understood that the gift is intended for the General Fund of the Mission, and in this case, it will be used, according to the needs of the work, at home or abroad.

---

## Mission Homes.

1326 De Kalb Street,  
Norristown, Pa.

507 Church Street,  
Toronto, Ont.

---

## Mission Offices.

702 Witherspoon Bldg.,  
Philadelphia, Pa.

507 Church Street,  
Toronto, Ont.



# C.F.M. Publications.

---

CHINA'S MILLIONS. Illustrated monthly. 50c.  
per annum.

CHINA'S MILLIONS. Yearly volume, nicely bound.  
50c.

THE STORY OF THE CHINA INLAND MISSION.  
By MRS. F. HOWARD TAYLOR. Two volumes.  
New edition. Illustrated. Cloth, \$1.25 per  
volume.

ONE OF CHINA'S SCHOLARS. By MRS. F.  
HOWARD TAYLOR. Illustrated. \$1.00.

IN THE FAR EAST. By MRS. F. HOWARD  
TAYLOR. Illustrated. \$1.25.

A RETROSPECT. By J. HUDSON TAYLOR. Boards,  
25c. Special paper-cover edition, 10c.; \$1.00  
per dozen.

UNION AND COMMUNION. By J. HUDSON  
TAYLOR. Thoughts on Song of Solomon.  
Price 30c.

A RIBBAND OF BLUE and Other Bible Medi-  
tations. By J. HUDSON TAYLOR. Price 30c.

SEPARATION AND SERVICE. J. HUDSON TAYLOR.  
Thoughts on Numbers vi. and vii. Price 30c.

MARTYRED MISSIONARIES OF THE  
CHINA INLAND MISSION. BY MARSHALL  
BROOMHALL. Illustrated. \$1.50.

IN DEATHS OFT. By C. H. S. GREEN. Price 20c.

---

*All Prices Quoted Include Postage.*

---

NEW MAP OF CHINA, 2 ft. 10 in. × 3 ft. 4 in.,  
on rollers or folding. Coloured. An up-to-date  
map. \$3.00 postpaid, or \$2.75 at the Mission  
Offices. Also small folding map in colours, 30c.